



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,944	01/19/2000	Ronald J. Shannon	CV0283a	3760
7:	590 07/11/2002			
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company			EXAMINER	
100 Headquarters Park Drive Skillman, NJ 08558			ALVAREZ,	RAQUEL
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3622		
•		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ć,		<i>i</i>	<i>J</i>			
t		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	OFF 4 / O	09/487,944	SHANNON, RONALD J.			
•	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raquel Alvarez	3622			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2000 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
· · ·	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
44)["] 3	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminer.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 LLS C & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)			
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority under 33 0.0.0. § 119(8	a)-(u) or (r).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·				
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	nic priority under 35 U.S.C. 99 120	J anu/UL 121.			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) :	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/487,944

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 11 recite the limitation "the sliding card" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brill et al. (5,299,121 hereinafter Brill) in view of article titled, "Solutions, Wound Care Algorithm Series flip cards" hereinafter Solutions.

With respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, Brill teaches a method for identifying a symptom care protocol for a given symptom (see figures 1-4). Classifying the symptom against a defined scale for a first symptom factor to obtain a symptom classification (i.e. classifying the symptoms to obtain the kind of illness)(Figures 1-2C); grading the symptom factors against defined scale (i.e. the level of the symptoms are accessed to determine the type of illness (Figure 2A-2E); a visual decision device corresponding to the symptom classification wherein the visual decision device identifies at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/487,944

Art Unit: 3622

component of a treatment protocol for the graded symptom factors (col. 3, lines 32-, col. 4, lines 1-68).

Brill does not specifically teach that the symptoms being rated and classified are wounds. On the other hand, Solutions teaches a method of use in identifying and carrying for various wounds. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in the system of Brill one of the symptoms to be wounds because such a modification would include wound properties and the ability to promote the wound healing process.

With respect to claims 2, 11 and 12 the claims further recite a mechanical device for presenting the visual decision and for classifying the wound, such as sliding cards. The combination of Brill and Solutions teach computerized and manual decisions techniques used for presenting and classifying wounds. Manual like Mechanical devices are old and well known to be in use prior to the computer era. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included mechanical devices and sliding cards because such a modification would allow non-computer users to benefit from the use of the system.

Claim 7 further recites an interactive scoring sheet containing a marker associated with one or more of the scores for two or more wound factors identifying an addition to the treatment protocol. The combination of Brill and Solutions teach leveling the wound factors and identifying various treatment protocols and since it is old and well known in the computer related arts to provide interactive displays then it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to

Application/Control Number: 09/487,944

Art Unit: 3622

have included a scoring sheet containing a marker for identifying an addition to the

treatment protocol because such a modification would allow for a more permanent

display such as a scoring sheet marking or showing the additional treatments.

Point of contact

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (703)305-

0456. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric w Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9326

for regular communications and (703)872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1113.

R.A.

July 2, 2002

MELANIE A. KEMPER PRIMARY EXAMINED

M. Ros

Page 4